

CONSTRAINED SUPERPOTENTIALS IN HARMONIC GAUGE THEORIES WITH 8 SUPERCHARGES

We consider D -dimensional supersymmetric gauge theories with 8 supercharges ($D \leq 6$, $\mathcal{N}=8$) in the framework of harmonic superspaces. The effective Abelian low-energy action for $D=5$ contains the free and Chern-Simons terms. Effective $\mathcal{N}=8$ superfield actions for $D \leq 4$ can be written in terms of the superpotentials satisfying the superfield constraints and $(6-D)$ -dimensional Laplace equations. The role of alternative harmonic structures is discussed.

PACS: 11.30.Pb

1 Introduction

The concept of harmonic superspace (HS) has been introduced firstly for the off-shell description of matter, gauge and supergravity superfield theories with $D=4$, $N_4=2$ supersymmetry [1, 2]. The HS approach has been used also for a consistent description of hypermultiplets and vector multiplet with $D=6$, $N_6=1$ supersymmetry [3]. It is convenient to use the total number of supercharges $\mathcal{N}=8$ for the classification of all these models in different dimensions D instead of the number of spinor representations for supercharges N_D . The universality of harmonic superspaces is connected with the possibility of constructing $\mathcal{N}=8$ models in $D < 6$ by a dimensional reduction. The HS actions for the hypermultiplets q^+, ω and the Yang-Mills prepotential V^{++} can be described by universal expressions in all dimensions $D \leq 6$. Nevertheless, $\mathcal{N}=8$ supersymmetries have some specific features for each dimension based on differences in the structure of Lorentz groups L_D , maximum automorphism groups R_D and the set of central charges Z_D . In particular, the alternative HS structures have been found for the case $D=2$ [4] and $D=3$ [5]. We shall study the HS constructions of low-energy effective actions for the Abelian gauge supermultiplets in $D=1, 2, 3$ and 5 in terms of the analytic prepotential V^{++} and the constrained superfield $(6-D)$ -component superfield strength $W(V^{++})$. The analogous problems have been discussed earlier in the framework of the component-field formalism or the formalism with the $\mathcal{N}=4$, $D=1, 2, 3$ superfields (see e.g. [6, 7, 8, 9]).

The main result of this work is a construction in the full $\mathcal{N}=8$ superspace for the dimensions $D=1, 2, 3$ and 5 the Coulomb effective actions which contain the product of harmonic connections and *the superpotentials* $f_D(W)$ satisfying the $(6-D)$ -dimensional Laplace equations.

Interactions of the superfields with 8 supercharges have universal and specific features for different dimensions, however, solutions of the most geometric and dynamic problems can be simplified in the HS formalism. The $(6-D)$ -dimensional Laplace equations for the low-energy superpotentials follow from the gauge invariance in HS . The non-renormalization theorems are connected with the search of R_D -invariant solutions of these equations. Analysis of the equations for superpotentials is connected with the alternative HS structures using different types of harmonics in the $D \leq 3$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ theories, which reflect adequately properties of duality transformations in these models.

2 Effective actions in the full and analytic superspaces

2.1. Let us firstly consider the harmonic superspace with $D=5$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ supersymmetry. The general five-dimensional superspace has the coordinates $z=(x^{\mathbf{m}}, \theta_i^\alpha)$, where $\mathbf{m}$ and α are the 5-vector and 4-spinor indices of the Lorentz group $L_5=SO(4,1)$, respectively, and i is the 2-spinor index of the automorphism group $R_5=SU(2)$. The antisymmetric traceless 5D Γ -matrices $(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\gamma}$ and invariant symplectic matrix $\Omega_{\alpha\rho}$ can be constructed in terms of the Weyl matrices and ε -symbols of $SL(2, C)$.

It is convenient to consider the bispinor representation of the 5D coordinates and partial derivatives

$$x^{\alpha\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma_{\mathbf{m}})^{\alpha\rho} x^{\mathbf{m}}, \quad \partial_{\alpha\rho} = \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\rho} \partial_{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (1)$$

The basic relations between the spinor derivatives D_α^k in the general $D=5, \mathcal{N}=8$ superspace have the following form:

$$\{D_\alpha^k, D_\gamma^l\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}(\partial_{\alpha\gamma} + \frac{1}{2}\Omega_{\alpha\gamma}Z), \quad (2)$$

where Z is the real central charge.

The R_5 -invariant projections of spinor derivatives $D_\alpha^\pm = u_i^\pm D_\alpha^i$ and coordinates of the harmonic superspace $\zeta=(x_A^{\mathbf{m}}, \theta^{\alpha+}, \theta^{\alpha-})$ can be defined with the help of the $SU(2)/U(1)$ harmonics $u_i^\pm$ by analogy with ref.[1] (i is the 2-spinor index of $SU(2)$ and $q=\pm 1$ is the $U(1)$ charge). The analytic Abelian prepotential $V^{++}(\zeta, u)$ describes the 5D vector supermultiplet, which contains the

real scalar field ϕ , the Maxwell field A_m , the isodoublet of 4-spinors λ_i^α and the auxiliary isotriplet X^{ik} . The real scalar superfield of this theory can be written in terms of the harmonic connection with the $U(1)$ charge -2

$$W = -\frac{i}{2}D^{+\alpha}D_\alpha^+ \int du_1 \frac{V^{++}(x, u_1)}{(u^+u_1^+)^2} = -2iD^{(+2)}V^{--} , \quad (3)$$

where $(u^+u_1^+)^{-2}$ is the harmonic distribution [2]. These superfields satisfy the following constraints:

$$D^{++}V^{--} = D^{--}V^{++} , \quad D^{\pm\pm}W = 0 , \quad (4)$$

$$D_{\alpha\rho}^{(+2)}W = 0 , \quad \text{where } D_{\alpha\rho}^{(+2)} = D_\alpha^+D_\rho^+ - \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{\alpha\rho}D^{+\sigma}D_\sigma^+ . \quad (5)$$

It is readily to construct the most general low-energy effective $U(1)$ -gauge action in the full $D=5$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ harmonic superspace

$$S_5 = \int d^5x d^8\theta du V^{++}V^{--}[g_5^{-2} + k_5W] , \quad (6)$$

where g_5 is the coupling constant of dimension $1/2$, and k_5 is the dimensionless constant of the $5D$ Chern-Simons interaction.

The low-energy $D=4$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ effective action conserves the $SU(2)$ automorphism group and breaks the $U_R(1)$ symmetry. The corresponding $D=4$ superpotential $f(W, \bar{W})=[F(W) + \bar{F}(\bar{W})]$ satisfies the $2D$ Laplace equation which has only holomorphic and anti-holomorphic solutions.

2.2. The analogous $D=3$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ gauge theory can be constructed in the superspace with the automorphism group $R_3=SU_l(2) \times SU_r(2)$. Coordinates of the general superspace are $z=(x^{\alpha\beta}, \theta_{ia}^\alpha)$. The relations between spinor derivatives are

$$\{D_\alpha^{ka}, D_\beta^{lb}\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon^{ab}\partial_{\alpha\beta} + i\varepsilon^{kl}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}Z^{ab} , \quad (7)$$

where $\partial_{\alpha\beta}=\partial/\partial x^{\alpha\beta}$ and Z^{ab} are the central charges which commute with all generators except for the generators of $SU_r(2)$.

We consider here the two-component indices $(\alpha, \beta \dots)$ for the space-time group $SL(2, R)$, $(i, k \dots)$ for the group $SU_l(2)$ and $(a, b \dots)$ for $SU_r(2)$, respectively. We shall use the notation $u_i^\pm \equiv u_i^{(\pm 1, 0)}$ for the harmonics of the group $SU_l(2)$ and $v_a^{(0, \pm 1)}$ for the $SU_r(2)$ harmonics, and also the notation $D_l^{\pm\pm}$ for the l -harmonic derivatives and $V_l^{\pm\pm}$ for the l -version of the $3D$ harmonic gauge superfields [5]. The notation with two $U(1)$ charges will be introduced for the biharmonic superfields. The gauge-covariant $SU_r(2)$ -bispinor superfield of $D=3$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ gauge theory contains the corresponding harmonic connection [5]

$$W^{ab} = -iD^{+\alpha a}D_\alpha^{+b}V_l^{--} , \quad (8)$$

where $D_\alpha^{+b} = u_i^+ D_\alpha^{ib}$. This superfield does not depend on harmonics in the Abelian case.

The $SL(2, R) \times SU_l(2)$ invariant Coulomb effective action can be expressed in terms of the superpotential $f_3(W^{ab})$

$$S_3 = \int d^3x d^8\theta du V_l^{++} V_l^{--} f_3(W^{ab}) . \quad (9)$$

The gauge invariance produces the following constraint:

$$D_\alpha^{+c} D_{c\beta}^+ f_3(W^{ab}) = 0. \quad (10)$$

This constraint is equivalent to the three-dimensional Laplace equation

$$\Delta_3^w f_3(W^{ab}) = 0 , \quad \Delta_3^w = \frac{\partial}{\partial W^{ab}} \frac{\partial}{\partial W_{ab}} . \quad (11)$$

The R_3 -invariant solution of Eq.(11) has the following form:

$$f_3^R(w_3) = g_3^{-2} + k_3 w_3^{-1} , \quad w_3 = \sqrt{W^{ab} W_{ab}} \quad (12)$$

where g_3 is the coupling constant of dimension $d=-1/2$, and k_3 is the dimensionless constant of the $\mathcal{N}=8$ $WZNW$ -type interaction of the vector multiplet. This interaction is well defined for nonzero values of the central-charge modulus $|Z|_3 = \sqrt{Z^{ab} \bar{Z}_{ab}}$, when its decomposition in terms of $\hat{W}_{ab} = W_{ab} - Z_{ab}$ is nonsingular. It should be remarked that the superfield interactions of the $3D$ -vector multiplets with dimensionless constants (Chern-Simons terms) have been constructed earlier for the case $\mathcal{N}=4$ [10] and $\mathcal{N}=6$ [11, 5].

The constraints on the superfield W^{ab} can be interpreted as an alternative r -analyticity of the following projection of this superfield in the biharmonic superspace (BHS):

$$W^{(0,2)} = v_a^{(0,1)} v_b^{(0,1)} W^{ab} = -i D^{(2,2)} V_l^{(-2,0)}(x, u) = -i \int du D^{(-2,2)} V_l^{(2,0)}(x, u) . \quad (13)$$

where $V_l^{(\pm 2,0)} \equiv V_l^{\pm \pm}$ and $D^{(\pm 2,2)} = u_i^{(\pm 1,0)} u_k^{(\pm 1,0)} v_a^{(0,1)} v_b^{(0,1)} D^{ia\alpha} D_\alpha^{kb}$.

The $3D$ -superpotential (11) can be written in the form of the integral over the harmonics $v_a^{(0,\pm 1)}$:

$$f_3(W^{ab}) = \int dv F_3[W^{(0,2)}, v^{(0,\pm 1)}] , \quad (14)$$

where F_3 is an arbitrary function with $q=(0,0)$. The effective action in the full superspace (9) can be transformed to the equivalent representation in the r -analytic superspace

$$S_3 = \int d^3x D^{(0,-4)} dv [W^{(0,2)}]^2 F_3[W^{(0,2)}, v^{(0,\pm 1)}] , \quad D^{(0,-4)} = D^{(2,-2)} D^{(-2,-2)} . \quad (15)$$

The mirror symmetry connects the l -vector multiplet $W^{(0,2)}(V^{(2,0)})$ with the r -analytic hypermultiplet ω_r .

2.3. The two-dimensional (4,4) superfields and the corresponding σ -models have been discussed in the ordinary superspace [12, 13] and in the framework of alternative harmonic superspaces [4]. The (4,4) gauge theory has been considered in the formalism of the (2,2) superspace [7]. We shall study the geometry of this theory in the manifestly covariant harmonic formalism. In the (4,4) superspace with the coordinates $(y, \bar{y}, \theta^{i\alpha}, \bar{\theta}^{ia})$, we shall use the automorphism group $R_2 = SU_c(2) \times SU_l(2) \times SU_r(2)$ (the notation of spinor indices for these groups: c) $i, k, \dots$; l) $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ and r) $a, b, \dots$, respectively). The algebra of spinor derivatives in this superspace is

$$\{D_{k\alpha}, D_{l\beta}\} = \varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\partial_y, \quad (16)$$

$$\{\bar{D}_{ka}, \bar{D}_{lb}\} = \varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ab}\bar{\partial}_y, \quad (17)$$

$$\{D_{k\alpha}, \bar{D}_{lb}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}Z_{\alpha b}, \quad (18)$$

where $Z_{\alpha b}$ are the central charges.

The superfield constraints of the nonabelian (4,4) gauge theory are

$$\{\nabla_{k\alpha}, \nabla_{l\beta}\} = \varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_y, \quad (19)$$

$$\{\bar{\nabla}_{ka}, \bar{\nabla}_{lb}\} = \varepsilon_{kl}\varepsilon_{ab}\bar{\nabla}_y, \quad (20)$$

$$\{\nabla_{k\alpha}, \bar{\nabla}_{lb}\} = i\varepsilon_{kl}W_{\alpha b}, \quad (21)$$

The authors of ref.[4] have discussed the three types of harmonics: $u_i^\pm = u^{(\pm 1, 0, 0)}$ for $SU_c(2)/U_c(1)$; $l_\alpha^{(0, \pm 1, 0)}$ for $SU_l(2)/U_l(1)$; and $r_a^{(0, 0, \pm 1)}$ for $SU_r(2)/U_r(1)$ (in our notation). The basic geometric structures of the gauge theory are connected mainly with the harmonics $u_i^\pm$ and the corresponding analytic coordinates $\zeta_c = (y_c, \theta^{+\alpha})$ and $\bar{\zeta}_c = (\bar{y}_c, \bar{\theta}^{+a})$. The $SO(4)$ -vector superfield strength w_m for the 2D analytic gauge prepotential $V_c^{++}(\zeta_c, \bar{\zeta}_c, u)$ can be constructed by analogy with $D=3$

$$W_{\alpha b} \equiv (\sigma^m)_{\alpha b} W_m = -iD_\alpha^+ \bar{D}_b^+ V_c^{--} \quad (22)$$

where $(\sigma^m)_{\alpha b}$ are the $SO(4)$ Weyl matrices. $W_{\alpha b}$ satisfies the superfield constraints analogous to the constraints of the so-called twisted multiplet [12].

In the full (4,4) superspace, one can construct the effective action of the $U(1)$ gauge theory

$$S_2 = \int d^2x d^8\theta du V_c^{++} V_c^{--} f_2(W_m), \quad (D^+)^2 f_2(W_m) = (\bar{D}^+)^2 f_2(W_m) = 0. \quad (23)$$

The general (4,4) superpotential satisfies the 4D Laplace equation

$$\Delta_4^w f_2(W_m) = 0, \quad \Delta_4^w = \frac{\partial}{\partial W_m} \frac{\partial}{\partial W_m}. \quad (24)$$

The R_2 -invariant solution for the (4,4) superpotential is determined uniquely

$$f_2^R(w_2) = g_2^{-2} + k_2 w_2^{-2}, \quad w_2 = \sqrt{W_m W_m}. \quad (25)$$

The analogous function has been considered in the derivation of the R_2 -invariant (2,2) Kähler potential of the $D=2$, (4,4) gauge theory [7]. The manifestly (4,4) covariant formalism of the harmonic gauge theory simplifies the proof of the non-renormalization theorem.

The biharmonic representation of the (4,4) superpotential is natural for the solutions of Eq.(24)

$$f_2(W^{\alpha a}) = \int dldr F_2[W^{(0,1,1)}, l, r], \quad W^{(0,1,1)} = l_\alpha^{(0,1,0)} r_a^{(0,0,1)} W^{\alpha a}, \quad (26)$$

where F_2 is a real rl -analytic function with the zero $U(1)$ -charges.

This projection of the vector multiplet (22) satisfies the conditions of the rl -analyticity in the triharmonic superspace

$$u_i^{(\pm 1, 0, 0)} l_\alpha^{(0, 1, 0)} D^{i\alpha} W^{(0, 1, 1)} \equiv D^{(\pm 1, 1, 0)} W^{(0, 1, 1)} = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$u_i^{(\pm 1, 0, 0)} r_a^{(0, 0, 1)} \bar{D}^{ia} W^{(0, 1, 1)} \equiv \bar{D}^{(\pm 1, 0, 1)} W^{(0, 1, 1)} = 0 \quad (28)$$

and the harmonic conditions

$$D_c^{(\pm 2, 0, 0)} W^{(0, 1, 1)} = D_l^{(0, 2, 0)} W^{(0, 1, 1)} = D_r^{(0, 0, 2)} W^{(0, 1, 1)} = 0 \quad (29)$$

which are analogous to the constraints on the $q^{(1,1)}$ superfield of ref.[4] (this notation does not indicate the $U_c(1)$ charge). Note that the vector multiplet $W^{(0,1,1)}$ contains the $2D$ vector field instead of the auxiliary scalar component in the superfield $q^{(1,1)}$.

Using Eqs.(26) and (23) one can obtain the following equivalent representation of the effective (4,4) action in the rl -analytic superspace:

$$S_2 = \int dldr d^2x D^{(1, -1, 0)} D^{(-1, -1, 0)} \bar{D}^{(1, 0, -1)} \bar{D}^{(-1, 0, -1)} [W^{(0, 1, 1)}]^2 F_2[W^{(0, 1, 1)}, l, r], \quad (30)$$

where

$$W^{(0, 1, 1)} = -i D^{(1, 1, 0)} \bar{D}^{(1, 0, 1)} V^{(-2, 0, 0)} = -i \int du D^{(-1, 1, 0)} \bar{D}^{(-1, 0, 1)} V^{(2, 0, 0)}. \quad (31)$$

The action of the $q^{(1,1)}$ multiplet with an analogous structure of the (4,4) σ -model has been constructed in ref.[4]. This multiplet is dual to the rl -analytic multiplet $\omega^{(\pm 1, \mp 1)}$.

2.4. The one-dimensional σ -models have been considered in the $\mathcal{N}=4$ superspace [14, 15]. Recently, this superspace has been used also for the proof of the non-renormalization theorem in the $\mathcal{N}=8$ gauge theory [6].

We shall consider the $D=1$, $\mathcal{N}=8$ superspace which is based on the automorphism group $R_1 = SU_c(2) \times Spin(5)$ and has the coordinates (t, θ_i^α) , where i is the 2-spinor index and α is the 4-spinor index of the group $Spin(5) = USp(4)$. The algebra of spinor derivatives is

$$\{D_\alpha^k, D_\rho^l\} = i\varepsilon^{kl}\Omega_{\alpha\rho}\partial_t + i\varepsilon^{kl}Z_{\alpha\rho} , \quad (32)$$

where $Z_{\alpha\rho}$ are central charges.

Constraints of the $1D$ vector multiplet correspond to the integrability conditions of the c -analyticity. The analytic $1D$ coordinates $\zeta_c = (t_c, \theta^{+\alpha})$ can be defined via the standard harmonics $u_i^\pm \equiv u_i^{(\pm 1, 0, 0)}$. The notation and algebra of the harmonized spinor derivatives $D_\alpha^\pm$ are similar for $D=1$ and $D=5$ cases. The superfield strength for the corresponding harmonic gauge connections $V_c^{\pm\pm}$ is the 5-vector $W_{\mathbf{m}}$ (or traceless bispinor $W_{\alpha\rho}$) with respect to $Spin(5)$

$$W_{\alpha\rho} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\Gamma^{\mathbf{m}})_{\alpha\rho}W_{\mathbf{m}} = -iD_{\alpha\rho}^{(+2)}V_c^{--} , \quad D^{(+2)}W_{\alpha\rho} = 0 , \quad (33)$$

where the $Spin(5)$ Γ -matrices and notation (5) are used.

In the Abelian gauge group, four components of this bispinor are twisted superfields, for example, $D_1^\pm W_{13} = D_3^\pm W_{13} = 0$.

The effective action has the following form in the full $D = 1$ superspace:

$$S_1 = \int dt d^8\theta du V_c^{++} V_c^{--} f_1(W_{\mathbf{m}}) . \quad (34)$$

The gauge invariance of S_1 is equivalent to the $5D$ Laplace equation for the superpotential

$$D^{(+2)}f_1(W_{\mathbf{m}}) = 0 \rightarrow \Delta_5^w f_1(W_{\mathbf{m}}) = 0 . \quad (35)$$

The R_1 -invariant $D=1$ superpotential

$$f_1^R(w_1) = g_1^{-2} + k_1 w_1^{-3} \quad (36)$$

is determined via the length of the 5-vector

$$w_1 = (W^{\rho\sigma}W_{\rho\sigma})^{1/2} . \quad (37)$$

The biharmonic construction of the general $5D$ superpotential (35) requires the use of harmonics $v_\alpha^{(0, \pm 1, 0)}$, $v_\alpha^{(0, 0, \pm 1)}$ of the group $USp(4)$ [16] and the corresponding harmonic projection of the bispinor superfield

$$f_1(W^{\alpha\rho}) = \int dv F_1[W^{(0, 1, 1)}, v_\alpha] , \quad (38)$$

$$W^{(0, 1, 1)} = v_\alpha^{(0, 1, 0)} v_\rho^{(0, 0, 1)} W^{\alpha\rho} , \quad (39)$$

where the real function F_1 of the superfield $W^{(0,1,1)}$ and v -harmonics is considered. The constraints of the superfield $W^{\alpha\rho}$ are equivalent to the conditions of the v -analyticity

$$u_i^{(\pm 1,0,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,1,0)} D^{i\alpha} W^{(0,1,1)} = u_i^{(\pm 1,0,0)} v_\alpha^{(0,0,1)} D^{i\alpha} W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \quad (40)$$

together with the harmonic conditions in u - and v -variables

$$D_c^{(\pm 2,0,0)} W^{(0,1,1)} = D_v^{(0,2,0)} W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \ , \quad (41)$$

$$D_v^{(0,0,2)} W^{(0,1,1)} = D_v^{(0,1,1)} W^{(0,1,1)} = 0 \ . \quad (42)$$

By analogy with $D=2$ and 3 we can analyze the equivalent form of S_1 in the v -analytic superspace and corresponding duality transformations.

I am grateful to E.A. Ivanov for stimulating discussions. This work is partially supported by grants RFBR-96-02-17634, RFBR-DFG-96-02-00180, INTAS-93-127-ext and INTAS-96-0308, and by grant of the Uzbek Foundation of Basic Research N 11/97.

References

- [1] A. Galperin , E. Ivanov , S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev. Class. Quant. Grav. **1**, 469 (1984).
- [2] A. Galperin , E. Ivanov , V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev. Class. Quant. Grav. **2**, 601 (1985).
- [3] B.M. Zupnik. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **44**, 512 (1986); Phys. Lett. **B183**, 175 (1987).
- [4] E. Ivanov and A. Sutulin. Nucl. Phys. **B432**, 246 (1994); Class. Quant. Grav. **14**, 843 (1997).
- [5] B.M. Zupnik. Harmonic superspaces for three-dimensional theories. In: Supersymmetries and Quantum Symmetries, Lect. Notes in Phys **524**, 116 (1999), hep-th/9804167.
- [6] D.-E. Diaconescu and R. Entin. A non-renormalization theorem for the $d=1$, $N=8$ vector multiplet. Preprint RU-97-50; hep-th/9706059.
- [7] D.-E. Diaconescu and N. Seiberg. JHEP **9707**, 001 (1997).
- [8] O. Aharony, A. Hanany, K. Intriligator, N. Seiberg and M.J. Strassler. Nucl. Phys. **B499**, 67 (1997).

- [9] N. Seiberg. Phys. Lett. **B388**, 753 (1997).
- [10] B.M. Zupnik and D.G. Pak. Theor. Mat. Phys. **77**, 1070 (1989).
- [11] B.M. Zupnik and D.V. Khetselius. Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **47**, 730 (1988).
- [12] S.J. Gates, C.M. Hull and M. Roček. Nucl. Phys. **B248**, 157 (1984).
- [13] O. Gorovoy and E. Ivanov. Nucl. Phys. **B381**, 394 (1992).
- [14] V.P. Berezovoj and A.I. Pashnev. Class. Quant. Grav. **8**, 2141 (1991).
- [15] E.A. Ivanov and A.V. Smilga. Phys. Lett. **B257**, 79 (1991).
- [16] E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, Nguen Ai Viet and V. Ogievetsky. J. Phys. **A18**, 3433 (1985).